

09/09/2024

Lecture: Linear Regression & Optimization

Pg 6. $L(y, t) = \frac{1}{2} (y - t)^2$

Cost $J(w, b)$ = average of the sum of all L 's.

i = index of the sample/vector
(there are N samples in the training set)

Loss calculated by = $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2} (y^i - t^i)^2$ j = index of the feature
(regarding one sample)

the ground truth and the prediction of the i -th vector

$$= \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N (w^T x^i + b - t^i)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=1}^D w_j x_{ij} + b - t^i \right)^2$$

ground truth/target of the i -th vector

Weight of the j -th feature within a vector/sample

Value of the j -th feature in the i -th sample/vector in the training set

Pg 10.

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & [x^{(1)}]^T \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & [x^{(N)}]^T \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } w = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_N \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{D+1}$$

manipulation of

Why we do this: incorporate the bias b into the matrix of all samples by essentially creating a new "dummy"/"placeholder" feature consisting of 1's.

This allows the weight vector to incorporate b into it, for better vectorization.

Essentially, $y = w^T X + b \mathbf{1} = Xw + b \mathbf{1}$ becomes $y = Xw$, to get rid of b .

We want to get rid of b for better vectorization.

p.g. 11 "To show that z^* minimizes $f(z)$, show that $\forall z, f(z) \geq f(z^*)$ "

this line refers to the loss function (f is an analogy to R). To show that a particular z^* (* means we are talking about a particular one (optimal one), in which z^* is an analogy to parameters w and b , minimizes f/R , you need to show that for all combinations of (w, b) , or for all possible z , $f(z^*)$ is the smallest ^{that} (z^* minimizes the loss function).

p.g. 12. $\frac{\partial y}{\partial w_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \left(\sum_{j'} w_{j'} x_{j'} + b \right)$ ①

\rightarrow looping through all dimensions
 $= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} (w_0 x_0 + w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_j x_j + \dots + w_D x_D + b)$

$= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} (w_0 x_0) + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} (w_j x_j) + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} (w_D x_D) + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} b$

$= x_j$

② $\frac{\partial y}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \left(\sum_{j'} w_{j'} x_{j'} + b \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial b} (w_0 x_0) + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial b} (w_D x_D) + \frac{\partial}{\partial b} b = 1$

③ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_j} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial w_j} = \frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{1}{2} (y-t)^2 \right) x_j = (y-t) x_j$

* Remark: we calculate EQ ① and EQ ② to

④ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial b} = \frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{1}{2} (y-t)^2 \right) \cdot 1 = (y-t)$

calculate EQ ③ & EQ ④, as ③ & ④ relate to how

Remark:

We apply the chain rule to get to the expanded form w_j & b affect the loss.

of ③ & ④ because we cannot do $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_j}$ & $\frac{\partial L}{\partial b}$ directly - L is not defined (directly) using w_j and b .